

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/451,580	11/30/1999	DANIEL L. POOLE	3339-PA13	9240
757 7590 10/24/2003 EXAMINER		INER		
BRINKS H	OFER GILSON & LIONE	SMITH, JAMES G		
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60611			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	1
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	·)H

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/451,580	POOLE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		James G. Smith	3723			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE I - Externafter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	August 2001 .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1900 O.B. 11,	400 0.0. 210.			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-11,13-15,17,18,20-33 and	39-41 is/are pending in the appl	ication.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8-11,13-15,17,18,20-33 and 39-41 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
·· _	ion Papers					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<u></u>				
10)∐	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '			
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>27 August 2001</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
* §	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) 🗌 <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application	i).		
•) The translation of the foreign language pro					
15)[] /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.			
Attachmen	rt(s)	_				
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Office action of 03 December 2001 is withdrawn, further the allowance of all claims is withdrawn in view of the new search and references. The requirement for restriction in the Office action of 23 March 2001 is withdrawn and an action on the merits of all the claims is found below. Any inconvenience to applicants is regretted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 10, 11, 13-15, 17, 23, 29, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 10 and 39 are ambiguous as it is unclear as to what is meant by "one of the jaw portion(s) (being) flexible in an outward direction and biased in an inward direction" as there is only one jaw portion in the first member.

There is also no antecedent basis for "the inner surfaces" in claim 23 and "the ends of said jaw portions" in claim 29.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/451,580 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25, 30, 31 and 41 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by any of Stratman, Sarvie(697) or Bothum as all show two single piece members with handle and jaw portions with a clamp bar on one member extending into an opening in the other member with the opening upper and lower surfaces providing a guiding means, and a brake lever pivotally engaged within a notch formed in the other member.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 5, 6, 8-10, 14, 15, 17, 22, 23, 26-29, 32, 33, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Stratman, Sarvie(697) or Bothum in view of Wolff et. al..

Any of Stratman, Sarvie(697) or Bothum shows the claimed invention except for the use of a plastic material of construction. Wolff et. al. suggests that a pliers type of clamp can be made of a plastic material to allow it to be flexible. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify any of Stratman, Sarvie(697) or Bothum by making any of them of a plastic material to make them more flexible <u>because</u> Wolff et. al. suggests the use of such a material in the manufacture of clamps or pliers.

Application/Control Number: 09/451,580 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

8. Claims 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Stratman, Sarvie(697) or Bothum in view of Wolff et. al. as applied to claims 5, 6, 8-10, 14, 15, 17, 22, 23, 26-29, 32, 33, 39 and 40 above, and further in view of any of Hersey, McGuckin of Reiter.

Any of Stratman, Sarvie(697) or Bothum, as modified by Wolff et. al., shows the claimed invention except for the use of a spring biased jaw portion. Any of Hersey, McGuckin of Reiter suggests that a clamp or pliers can have such a spring biased jaw portion to provide the tool with more flexibility. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify any of Stratman, Sarvie(697) or Bothum by using a spring to bias a jaw portion because any of Hersey, McGuckin of Reiter suggests the use of such a spring to bias a jaw portion on the same type of tool.

9. Clarke is cited as showing the use of a pivotally attached jaw portion.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 10/15/03